

Stream Reasoning-Related Activities at KRR Uni Potsdam

Martin Gebser Philipp Obermeier Orkunt Sabuncu
Roland Kaminski Torsten Schaub



Overview

- 1 Sliding Window-Based Approach with ASP
- 2 Multi-shot ASP Solving
- 3 ROSoClingo
- 4 Conclusion

Outline

- 1 Sliding Window-Based Approach with ASP
- 2 Multi-shot ASP Solving
- 3 ROSoClingo
- 4 Conclusion

Approach Overview

Two Main Aspects

- Extension of (Reactive) ASP to allow for sliding-window-based reasoning: built-in support of **data expiration**
- Encoding technique to **re-use learned conflict constraints** by
 - 1 statically encode a task wrt. any window contents and
 - 2 dynamically map stream data to static encoding

References



M. Gebser, T. Grote, R. Kaminski, P. Obermeier, O. Sabuncu, T. Schaub. **Stream reasoning with answer set programming: Preliminary report.** *KR'12, 2012.*



M. Gebser, T. Grote, R. Kaminski, P. Obermeier, O. Sabuncu, T. Schaub. **Answer set programming for stream reasoning.** *ASPOCP'12, 2012.*

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

*aa*baaab... ✗

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

➡ Restrict attention to sliding window of length 2!

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

aabaaab... ❌

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

- ➡ Restrict attention to sliding window of length 2!

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

*aa*baaab... ✓

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

- Restrict attention to sliding window of length 2!

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

*aa***b***aaab...* **X**

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

- Restrict attention to sliding window of length 2!

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

*aa***b***aaab...* ❌

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

- ➡ Restrict attention to sliding window of length 2!

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

aabaaab... ✓

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

- Restrict attention to sliding window of length 2!

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

aabaaab... ✓

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

➡ Restrict attention to sliding window of length 2!

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

*aa***baaab**... **X**

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

➡ Restrict attention to sliding window of length 2!

Running Example

Consider the task of continuously matching stream prefixes against regular expression $(a|b)^*aa$.

Example Stream

aabaaab...

Observation: Only the two last readings are significant.

➡ Restrict attention to **sliding window** of length 2!

Sliding the Window

Stream Data (Expiration after 2 Steps)

```
read(a,1). read(a,2). read(b,3). ...
```

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
#program cumulative t.  
#external read((a;b),t).           % set False after 2 steps  
accept(t) :- read(a,(t;t-1)).
```

Incremental Instantiation: $t = 1$

```
accept(1) :- read(a,1), read(a,0).  
            read(a,1).
```

✓ Obsolete stream data is erased after expiration!

Sliding the Window

Stream Data (Expiration after 2 Steps)

```
read(a,1). read(a,2). read(b,3). ...
```

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
#program cumulative t.  
#external read((a;b),t). % set False after 2 steps  
accept(t) :- read(a,(t;t-1)).
```

Incremental Instantiation: $t = 1$

```
accept(1) :- read(a,1), read(a,0).  
             read(a,1).
```

✓ Obsolete stream data is erased after expiration!

Sliding the Window

Stream Data (Expiration after 2 Steps)

```
read(a,1). read(a,2). read(b,3). ...
```

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
#program cumulative t.  
#external read((a;b),t). % set False after 2 steps  
accept(t) :- read(a,(t;t-1)).
```

Incremental Instantiation: $t = 1$

```
accept(1) :- read(a,1), read(a,0).  
             read(a,1).
```

✓ Obsolete stream data is erased after expiration!

Sliding the Window

Stream Data (Expiration after 2 Steps)

```
read(a,1). read(a,2). read(b,3). ...
```

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
#program cumulative t.
#external read((a;b),t).           % set False after 2 steps
accept(t) :- read(a,(t;t-1)).
```

Incremental Instantiation: $t = 2$

```
accept(2) :- read(a,2), read(a,1).
             read(a,2). read(a,1).
```

✓ Obsolete stream data is erased after expiration!

Sliding the Window

Stream Data (Expiration after 2 Steps)

```
read(a,1). read(a,2). read(b,3). ...
```

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
#program cumulative t.  
#external read((a;b),t). % set False after 2 steps  
accept(t) :- read(a,(t;t-1)).
```

Incremental Instantiation: $t = 3$

```
accept(3) :- read(a,3), read(a,2).  
             read(b,3). read(a,2).
```

✓ Obsolete stream data is erased after expiration!

Sliding the Window

Stream Data (Expiration after 2 Steps)

```
read(a,1). read(a,2). read(b,3). ...
```

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
#program cumulative t.
#external read((a;b),t).           % set False after 2 steps
accept(t) :- read(a,(t;t-1)).
```

Incremental Instantiation: $t = \dots$

```
accept(t) :- read(a,t), read(a,t-1).
             read(_,t). read(_,t-1).
```

✓ Obsolete stream data is erased after expiration!

Recapitulation

We have seen how an reactive ASP encoding can be **expanded** relative to sliding window data by successively

- 1 generating new (ground) rules
- 2 defining new (ground) atoms.

✗ New propositions handicap the re-use of conflict constraints.

In what follows, we develop modeling approaches to combine online data with a static problem representation.

Idea: Encode problem wrt. any window contents and dynamically map stream data (in window) to internal representation!

Recapitulation

We have seen how an reactive ASP encoding can be expanded relative to sliding window data by successively

- 1 generating new (ground) rules
- 2 defining new (ground) atoms.

✗ New propositions handicap the re-use of conflict constraints.

In what follows, we develop modeling approaches to combine **online data** with a **static problem representation**.

Idea: Encode problem wrt. any window contents and dynamically map stream data (in window) to internal representation!

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

$ababaaab\dots$ ✗

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 ...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

- ➡ Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?
- ✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

$abaaab\dots$ **X**

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 ...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

- Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?
- ✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

aa *baaab*... **X**

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

1234567...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

- Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?
- ✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

aabaaab... ✖

↓↓↓↓↓↓↓ ↓

1234567...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

- Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?
- ✖ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

*aba***aba***ab...* **✗**

↓↓↓↓↓↓↓↓ ↓

1234567...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

- Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?
- ✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

*abbaa*ab... **X**

↓↓↓↓↓↓↓ ↓

1234567...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

- Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?
- ✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

abaaab... ✓

↓↓↓↓↓↓↓ ↓

1234567...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

- Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?
- ✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

abbaaab ... ✓

↓↓↓↓↓↓↓ ↓

1234567 ...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

- ➡ Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?
- ✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

abaaab... ✗

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

1234567 ...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

➡ Map stream positions to slots represented by remainders of 5?

✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

abaaab... ✗

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

1234012...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

➡ Map stream positions to **slots** represented by remainders of 5?

✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

abbaaab...

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

1234012...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

➡ Map stream positions to **slots** represented by remainders of 5?

✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

$abaaab\dots$

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

1234501...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

➡ Map stream positions to **slots** represented by remainders of 5?

✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

✓ Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Modified Running Example

Consider the task of checking whether the last five readings (over alphabet $\{a, b\}$) from a stream include aaa as a subsequence.

Example Stream

abaaab...

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

1234501...

Observation: Readings remain in window for five steps.

➡ Map stream positions to **slots** represented by remainders of 5?

✗ Circular subsequences may lead to false positives.

Idea: Introduce a free slot to disconnect present from past data!

✓ Static problem representation captures windows of width 5.

Static “Free Slot” Approach

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
next(T, (T+1) \ 6) :- T = 0..5.  
{ b_read(a,T) } :- next(T,_).  
single(T) :- b_read(a,T).  
double(T) :- b_read(a,T), single(S), next(S,T).  
accept      :- b_read(a,T), double(S), next(S,T).
```

- Static program part is **instantiated once** (initially).
- Successive slots are determined via modulo-6 arithmetic.
- Internal representation of readings is generated by choice rules.
- Subsequences *aaa* are traced wrt. internal representation.
- Dynamic parts must map readings to internal representation!

Static “Free Slot” Approach

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
next(T, (T+1) \ 6) :- T = 0..5.  
{ b_read(a,T) } :- next(T,_).  
single(T) :- b_read(a,T).  
double(T) :- b_read(a,T), single(S), next(S,T).  
accept      :- b_read(a,T), double(S), next(S,T).
```

- Static program part is instantiated once (initially).
- **Successive slots** are determined via modulo-6 arithmetic.
- Internal representation of readings is generated by choice rules.
- Subsequences *aaa* are traced wrt. internal representation.
- Dynamic parts must map readings to internal representation!

Static “Free Slot” Approach

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
next(T, (T+1) \ 6) :- T = 0..5.  
{ b_read(a,T) } :- next(T,_).  
single(T) :- b_read(a,T).  
double(T) :- b_read(a,T), single(S), next(S,T).  
accept    :- b_read(a,T), double(S), next(S,T).
```

Ground Instantiation

```
next(0,1).  next(3,4).  
next(1,2).  next(4,5).  
next(2,3).  next(5,0).
```

Static “Free Slot” Approach

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
next(T, (T+1) \ 6) :- T = 0..5.  
{ b_read(a,T) } :- next(T,_).  
single(T) :- b_read(a,T).  
double(T) :- b_read(a,T), single(S), next(S,T).  
accept      :- b_read(a,T), double(S), next(S,T).
```

- Static program part is instantiated once (initially).
- Successive slots are determined via modulo-6 arithmetic.
- Internal representation of readings is generated by **choice rules**.
 - Subsequences *aaa* are traced wrt. internal representation.
- ➡ Dynamic parts must map readings to internal representation!

Static “Free Slot” Approach

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
next(T, (T+1) \ 6) :- T = 0..5.  
{ b_read(a,T) } :- next(T,_).  
single(T) :- b_read(a,T).  
double(T) :- b_read(a,T), single(S), next(S,T).  
accept      :- b_read(a,T), double(S), next(S,T).
```

- Static program part is instantiated once (initially).
 - Successive slots are determined via modulo-6 arithmetic.
 - Internal representation of readings is generated by choice rules.
 - Subsequences *aaa* are traced wrt. **internal representation**.
- ➡ Dynamic parts must map readings to internal representation!

Static “Free Slot” Approach

Reactive ASP Encoding

```
next(T, (T+1) \ 6) :- T = 0..5.  
{ b_read(a,T) } :- next(T,_).  
single(T) :- b_read(a,T).  
double(T) :- b_read(a,T), single(S), next(S,T).  
accept      :- b_read(a,T), double(S), next(S,T).
```

- Static program part is instantiated once (initially).
 - Successive slots are determined via modulo-6 arithmetic.
 - Internal representation of readings is generated by **choice rules**.
 - Subsequences *aaa* are traced wrt. **internal representation**.
- ➡ Dynamic parts must map readings to internal representation!

Online Data vs. Internal Representation

Stream Data (Expiration after 5 steps)

```
read(a,1).      read(a,2).      read(b,3).      ...
```

`read` \Rightarrow `b_read`

```
#program cumulative(t).
#external read((a;b),t).           % set False after 5 steps
:- read(a,t), not b_read(a,t \ 6).
```

`b_read` \Rightarrow `read`

```
#program volatile(t).
#external volatile(t).           % set True for steps t to t+5
:- b_read(a,t \ 6), not read(a,t), volatile(t).
```

➡ Constraints expire when window progresses (by six steps)

Online Data vs. Internal Representation

Stream Data (Expiration after 5 steps)

```
read(a,1).      read(a,2).      read(b,3).      ...
```

read \Rightarrow b_read

```
#program cumulative(t).
#external read((a;b),t).           % set False after 5 steps
:- read(a,t), not b_read(a,t \ 6).
```

b_read \Rightarrow read

```
#program volatile(t).
#external volatile(t).           % set True for steps t to t+5
:- b_read(a,t \ 6), not read(a,t), volatile(t).
```

➡ Constraints expire when window progresses (by six steps)

Online Data vs. Internal Representation

Stream Data (Expiration after 5 steps)

```
read(a,1).      read(a,2).      read(b,3).      ...
```

read \Rightarrow b_read

```
#program cumulative(t).
#external read((a;b),t).           % set False after 5 steps
:- read(a,t), not b_read(a,t \ 6).
```

b_read \Rightarrow read

```
#program volatile(t).
#external volatile(t).           % set True for steps t to t+5
:- b_read(a,t \ 6), not read(a,t), volatile(t).
```

➡ Constraints expire when window progresses (by six steps)

Online Data vs. Internal Representation

Stream Data (Expiration after 5 steps)

```
read(a,1).      read(a,2).      read(b,3).      ...
```

read \Rightarrow b_read

```
#program cumulative(t).
#external read((a;b),t).           % set False after 5 steps
:- read(a,t), not b_read(a,t \ 6).
```

b_read \Rightarrow read

```
#program volatile(t).
#external volatile(t).           % set True for steps t to t+5
:- b_read(a,t \ 6), not read(a,t), volatile(t).
```

➡ Constraints expire when window progresses (by six steps)

Online Data vs. Internal Representation

Stream Data (Expiration after 5 steps)

```
read(a,1).      read(a,2).      read(b,3).      ...
```

$\text{read} \Rightarrow \text{b_read}$

```
#program cumulative(t).
#external read((a;b),t).           % set False after 5 steps
:- read(a,t), not b_read(a,t \ 6).
```

$\text{b_read} \Rightarrow \text{read}$

```
#program volatile(t).
#external volatile(t).           % set True for steps t to t+5
:- b_read(a,t \ 6), not read(a,t), volatile(t).
```

Observation: Dynamic parts confined to data and its mapping.

Outline

- 1 Sliding Window-Based Approach with ASP
- 2 Multi-shot ASP Solving
- 3 ROSoClingo
- 4 Conclusion

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*

Multi-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*

↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

clingo 4

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- **Single-shot solving:** *ground* | *solve*

Multi-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*

↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

clingo 4

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*

- Multi-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*

↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

- Application areas

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

- Implementation: *clingo 4*

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*

- **Multi-shot solving:** *ground* | *solve*

↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

- Application areas

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

- Implementation: *clingo 4*

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*

- **Multi-shot solving:** *ground** | *solve**

↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

- Application areas

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

- Implementation: *clingo 4*

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*

- **Multi-shot solving:** $(\textit{ground}^* \mid \textit{solve}^*)^*$

↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

- Application areas

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

- Implementation: *clingo 4*

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*
- **Multi-shot solving:** (*input* | *ground*^{*} | *solve*^{*})^{*}

↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

- Application areas

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

- Implementation: *clingo* 4

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: $ground \mid solve$

- **Multi-shot solving:** $(input \mid ground^* \mid solve^* \mid theory)^*$

↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

- Application areas

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

- Implementation: *clingo 4*

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*
- **Multi-shot solving**: (*input* | *ground** | *solve** | *theory* | ...) *
 - ↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

- Application areas

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

- Implementation: *clingo* 4

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: $ground \mid solve$
- Multi-shot solving: $(input \mid ground^* \mid solve^* \mid theory \mid \dots)^*$
 - ↳ *continuously changing logic programs*

- Application areas

Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc

- Implementation *clingo 4*

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*
- **Multi-shot solving:** (*input* | *ground*^{*} | *solve*^{*} | *theory* | ...) ^{*}
 - ↳ *continuously changing logic programs*
- Application areas
Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc
- Implementation *clingo 4*

Motivation

- Claim ASP is an under-the-hood technology

That is, in practice, it mainly serves as a solving engine within an encompassing software environment

- Single-shot solving: *ground* | *solve*
- **Multi-shot solving:** (*input* | *ground*^{*} | *solve*^{*} | *theory* | ...) ^{*}
 - ↳ *continuously changing logic programs*
- Application areas
Agents, Assisted Living, Robotics, Planning, Query-answering, etc
- Implementation *clingo* 4

Clingo = ASP + Control

■ ASP

```
#program <name> [ (<parameters>) ]  
    #program play(t).  
#external <atom> [ : <body> ]  
    #external mark(X,Y,P,t) : field(X,Y), player(P).
```

■ Control

```
Lua (www.lua.org)  
    prg:solve(), prg:ground(parts), ...  
Python (www.python.org)  
    prg.solve(), prg.ground(parts), ...
```

■ Integration

```
in ASP: embedded scripting language (#script)  
in Lua/Python: library import (import gringo)
```

Clingo = ASP + Control

■ ASP

- `#program <name> [(<parameters>)]`

- Example `#program play(t).`

- `#external <atom> [: <body>]`

- Example `#external mark(X,Y,P,t) : field(X,Y), player(P).`

■ Control

- Lua (www.lua.org)

- `prg:solve(), prg:ground(parts), ...`

- Python (www.python.org)

- `prg.solve(), prg.ground(parts), ...`

■ Integration

- in ASP: embedded scripting language (`#script`)

- in Lua/Python: library import (`import gringo`)

Clingo = ASP + Control

■ ASP

- `#program <name> [(<parameters>)]`
 - Example `#program play(t).`
- `#external <atom> [: <body>]`
 - Example `#external mark(X,Y,P,t) : field(X,Y), player(P).`

■ Control

Lua (www.lua.org)

```
prg:solve(), prg:ground(parts), ...
```

Python (www.python.org)

```
prg.solve(), prg.ground(parts), ...
```

■ Integration

in ASP: embedded scripting language (`#script`)

in Lua/Python: library import (`import gringo`)

Clingo = ASP + Control

■ ASP

- `#program <name> [(<parameters>)]`

- Example `#program play(t).`

- `#external <atom> [: <body>]`

- Example `#external mark(X,Y,P,t) : field(X,Y), player(P).`

■ Control

- Lua (www.lua.org)

- Example `prg:solve(), prg:ground(parts), ...`

- Python (www.python.org)

- Example `prg.solve(), prg.ground(parts), ...`

■ Integration

in ASP: embedded scripting language (`#script`)

in Lua/Python: library import (`import gringo`)

Clingo = ASP + Control

■ ASP

- `#program <name> [(<parameters>)]`
 - Example `#program play(t).`
- `#external <atom> [: <body>]`
 - Example `#external mark(X,Y,P,t) : field(X,Y), player(P).`

■ Control

- Lua (www.lua.org)
 - Example `prg:solve(), prg:ground(parts), ...`
- Python (www.python.org)
 - Example `prg.solve(), prg.ground(parts), ...`

■ Integration

- in ASP: embedded scripting language (`#script`)
- in Lua/Python: library import (`import gringo`)

Clingo = ASP + Control

■ ASP

- `#program <name> [(<parameters>)]`
 - Example `#program play(t).`
- `#external <atom> [: <body>]`
 - Example `#external mark(X,Y,P,t) : field(X,Y), player(P).`

■ Control

- Lua (www.lua.org)
 - Example `prg:solve(), prg:ground(parts), ...`
- Python (www.python.org)
 - Example `prg.solve(), prg.ground(parts), ...`

■ Integration

- in ASP: embedded scripting language (`#script`)
- in Lua/Python: library import (`import gringo`)

Vanilla *clingo*

- Emulating *clingo* in *clingo* 4

```
#script (python)
def main(prg):
    parts = []
    parts.append(("base", []))
    prg.ground(parts)
    prg.solve()
#end.
```

Vanilla *clingo*

- Emulating *clingo* in *clingo* 4

```
#script (python)
def main(prg):
    parts = []
    parts.append(("base", []))
    prg.ground(parts)
    prg.solve()
#end.
```

Vanilla *clingo*

- Emulating *clingo* in *clingo* 4

```
#script (python)
def main(prg):
    parts = []
    parts.append(("base", []))
    prg.ground(parts)
    prg.solve()
#end.
```

Outline

- 1 Sliding Window-Based Approach with ASP
- 2 Multi-shot ASP Solving
- 3 **ROSoClingo**
- 4 Conclusion

ROSoClingo

- ROSoClingo provides a highly capable reasoning framework for ROS by integrating the reactive answer set solver clingo
- Representation methodology based on reactive ASP
 - clingo can react to incoming requests, environment changes, and new sensory information
 - Exogenous events are modelled by clingo's external directives
 - Execution failures are directly incorporated in the encoding
- Single framework declaratively controlling robots to do complex action planning while adapting to new information and environment changes
- Available at potassco.sourceforge.net

Outline

- 1 Sliding Window-Based Approach with ASP
- 2 Multi-shot ASP Solving
- 3 ROSoClingo
- 4 Conclusion

Summary and Outlook

Stream Reasoning Approach for ASP

- Extended (Reactive) ASP by built-in support of sliding windows
- Developed modeling approaches to reason over transient data with re-use of conflict constraint

Clingo = Control + ASP

- Operative framework to continuously process ASP programs
- Interleaving of ASP grounding/solving with imperative control, among others, essential for stream reasoning

Summary and Outlook

Applications (Hybris project) driving our future refinements and extensions



Warehouse logistics



Robocup logistics