



Stream Reasoning using Temporal Logic for Autonomous System

Fredrik Heintz, PhD

Knowledge Processing Laboratory/UASTech Laboratory

Artificial Intelligence and Integrated Computer Systems Division Department of Computer and Information Science Linköping University, Sweden

Fredrik Heintz





Collaborative Unmanned Aircraft Systems

A principled approach to building collaborative unmanned aircraft systems for complex missions.







SELECTED AUTONOMOUS FUNCTIONALITIES

LINKÖPING UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCE Autonomous Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Technologies Lab





High-Level Research Overview



Fredrik Heintz

Vienna 9 Nov, 2015





Stream Reasoning

- Autonomous systems produce and process sequences of values incrementally created at run-time.
- These sequences are natural to model as streams.
- Stream reasoning is incremental reasoning over streams.
- Stream reasoning approximates continuous reasoning with minimal latency necessary in order to react in a timely manner to changes in the environment.





Stream Reasoning using Metric Temporal Logic

always \forall uav.((power_usage(uav) > M)
 ((power_usage(uav) < f × M)
 until[0,]
 (always[0, '] power_usage(uav) M)))</pre>

The semantics of these formulas is defined over infinite state sequences. Progression is one technique to check whether the current prefix is sufficient to determine the truth value of a formula.

$$- \underbrace{\mathbf{S}_{2} + \underbrace{\mathbf{S}_{2}}_{1} + \underbrace{\mathbf{S}_{0}}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$$

Fredrik Heintz

AIICS Autonomous UAV Technologies



Stream Reasoning using Metric Temporal Logic



AIICS Auto





Application: Execution Monitoring



If things can go wrong they probably will!

This implies the need for continual monitoring of an autonomous system and its environment in a principled, contextual, task specific manner which can be specified by the system itself!

always (eventually [0, t] (always [0,t'] speed(uav) < T)) It should always be the case that within t time units from now, an interval of length t' should start where the UAV's speed stays below threshold T.

EXEC until [0, 5000] (\neg EXEC altitude(uav) > 7) The command should take less than 5 seconds to execute and when the execution is finished the altitude of the UAV should be above 7 meters.



DyKnow Semantically Grounded Stream Reasoning in ROS





Spatio-Temporal Stream Reasoning in DyKnow [Heintz and de Leng ECAI 2014]

- The temporal reasoning is extended with spatial reasoning using for example RCC-8. RCC-8 defines 8 primitive relations and a composition table for qualitative constraint reasoning based on path consistency.
- Allows expressing conditions such as:
 - ∀uav, restricted_area always DC(uav, restricted_area)
 - ∀uav, urban_area always (PO(uav, urban_area))

 \rightarrow eventually [0, 2min] altitude(*uav*) > 100m)





Spatio-Temporal Stream Reasoning in DyKnow [Heintz and de Leng ECAI 2014]

- The temporal reasoning is extended with spatial reasoning using for example RCC-8. RCC-8 defines 8 primitive relations and a composition table for qualitative constraint reasoning based on path consistency.
- Allows expressing conditions such as:
 - ∀uav, restricted_area always DC(uav, restricted_area)
 - ∀uav, urban_area always (PO(uav, urban_area))





DC(urban_area1, restricted_area1) DC(urban_area1, restricted_area2) DC(urban_area1, urban_area2) DC(urban_area1, road1) DC(urban_area1, road2) EC(road1, restricted_area1) EC(road1, restricted_area2) PO(road1, urban_area2) DC(road1, road2) PO(uav1, road2) PO(uav1, urban_area2) ...



Spatio-Temporal Stream Reasoning in DyKnow



Known: EC(a,c) \land NTPP(c,b)

Deduced: PO(a,b) ∨ TPP(a,b) ∨ NTPP(a,b)









DC(urban_area1, restricted_area1) DC(urban_area1, restricted_area2) DC(urban_area1, urban_area2) DC(urban_area1, road1) DC(urban_area1, road2) EC(road1, restricted_area1) EC(road1, restricted_area2) PO(road1, urban_area2) DC(road1, road2) PO(uav1, road2) PO(uav1, urban_area2) ...

Fredrik Heintz



Spatio-Temporal Stream Reasoning in DyKnow

[Heintz and de Leng ECAI 2014]

• To handle incomplete spatial information we extend the first order logic to a three valued strong Kleene logic.

A and B	Т	F	U	_	A or B	Т	F	U	_	not A	
Т	Т	F	U		Т	Т	Т	Т	-	Т	F
F	F	F	F		F	Т	F	U		F	Т
U	U	F	U		U	Т	U	U		U	U

- The truth value of a spatial predicate P(a,b) given a set S of disjunctive base relations that hold between a and b is:
 - P(a,b) is true if $P \in S$ and |S|=1
 - P(a,b) is unknown if $P \in S$ and |S|>1
 - P(a,b) is false if $P \notin S$

always (PO(a,b) \rightarrow eventually [0,2] DC(a,b))

```
always (PO(a,b) \rightarrow eventually [0,2] DC(a,b))
\land (U \lor eventually [0,2] DC(a,b))
```

Known: EC(a,c) \land NTPP(c,b)

Deduced: PO(a,b) ∨ TPP(a,b) ∨ NTPP(a,b)

PO(a,b) = U and DC(a,b) = F









The Sense-Reasoning Gap







Bridging the Sense-Reasoning Gap



Fredrik Heintz





Generating State Streams



Fredrik Heintz



Alles Autonomous UAV Technologies

Grounding Stream Reasoning in Robotic Systems [de Leng and Heintz FOfAI 2015, Heintz IROS 2014; de Leng and Heintz FUSION 2013]

 A temporal logical formula contains a number of symbols representing variables whose values over time must be collected and synchronized in order to determine the truth value of the formula.

forall *x* in UAV **always**(Speed[*x*] < 60)

 Given a functional system, such as a robot, producing streams the grounding problem for logic-based stream reasoning is to *connect symbols in formulas to streams in the functional system so that the symbols get their intended meaning*.







Syntactic and Semantic Grounding

[de Leng and Heintz FOfAI 2015, Heintz IROS 2014; de Leng and Heintz FUSION 2013]

- Syntactic grounding: Use a direct mapping between symbols and streams, for example by using stream names in the formulas. A formula such as forall x in UAV always(Speed [x] < 60) would then have to be written something like always((/uav1/uavstate.spd < 60) ^ (/uav2/uavstate.spd < 60))
- Semantic grounding: Annotate streams with their semantic content and reason about how to connect symbols to streams using semantic web technologies. We call this reasoning for semantic matching. It finds the relevant streams by matching the ontological concepts used in a formula to the ontological concepts associated with the streams.



Semantically Grounded Stream Reasoning

[de Leng and Heintz FOfAI 2015, Heintz IROS 2014; de Leng and Heintz FUSION 2013]







Discussion

- A stream can be seen as a partial view of a conceptual central KB. One important and interesting special case is to view a stream as temporal information, where each stream element is conceptually a separate time-point.
- Windows are an explicit construct to create independent parts
- Event processing vs Stream Reasoning.
- Separating stream processing and stream reasoning.
- Determining the truth value of a formula over a given stream or finding all substitutions which make the formula true.
- Finding all substreams which satisfies a formula.
- Combine formula evaluation (path checking) with theorem proving (model checking).





Summary

- High level incremental reasoning over streaming information is essential to autonomous systems.
- DyKnow is a practical framework for grounded stream reasoning including support for spatio-temporal reasoning.
- The reasoning is semantically grounded through a common ontology and a specification of the semantic content of streams relative to the ontology.
- Through DyKnow, ROS is extended with a powerful stream reasoning capability available to a wide range of robotic systems.







LIU EXPANDING REALITY